THE MECHANISMS OF THE WALLACH TRANSFORMATION OF a- and B-2-PHENYLAZOXYNAPHTHALENES:

TWO REACTION PATHWAYS LEADING TO A COMMON PRODUCT.

E. Buncel, R.A. Cox and A. Dolenko

Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada (Received in USA 3 September 1974; received in UK for publication 10 December 1975)

Whereas <u>para</u> orientation in the acid catalyzed Wallach rearrangement is the generally observed course of reaction (e.g. azoxybenzene  $\rightarrow$  <u>p</u>-hydroxyazobenzene)<sup>1,2</sup>, the literature contains conflicting reports concerning the importance of <u>ortho</u> orientation.<sup>3-5</sup> The mechanism of such <u>ortho</u> orientations has been uncertain, with evidence presented for both intra- and intermolecular mechanisms, whereas the <u>para</u> rearrangement is known to proceed only intermolecularly. <sup>3-7</sup> On the other hand, the photochemical Wallach rearrangement is known to yield only <u>ortho</u> hydroxyazo products, by an intramolecular process.<sup>6-8</sup> Recently the remarkable finding of acid catalysis in the photochemical Wallach rearrangement was reported, with <u>o</u>-hydroxyazobenzene as the sole product.<sup>9</sup>

We have shown<sup>10</sup> that the isomeric  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -2-phenylazoxynaphthalenes,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{2}{2}$ , yield on rearrangement in moderately concentrated sulfuric acid a common product, the 2-phenylazo-1naphthol  $\frac{3}{2}$  i.e. with <u>ortho</u> orientation (eq. 1). We now present evidence based on a kinetic investigation that these isomers react by different reaction pathways.



The rates of rearrangement of  $\chi$  and  $\chi$  were studied by uv-visible spectroscopy in aqueous  $H_2SO_4$  containing 0.5% ethanol, at 44.4°. Reaction was followed<sup>11</sup> by monitoring the appearance with time of the protonated product absorption directly in the acid media, or by quenching with base and observing the azonaphtholate anion. Pseudo first order rate constants were calculated from linear plots of log  $(A_{\infty} - A_{\pm})$  vs. time. The data shown in Figs. 1 and 2 for the range 70-80%  $H_2SO_4$  represent mean values of several determinations.<sup>\*</sup> Since the pK<sub>SH</sub><sup>+</sup> values of  $\chi$  and  $\chi$  are -5.00 and -5.03 respectively<sup>12</sup>, it follows that both substrates are extensively monoprotonated in these acid media. The observation of an increasing reaction rate beyond complete monoprotonation is indicative of the requirement of a second proton transfer.

The significance of the plot log  $k_{\psi}$  - log  $C_{SH}^{+/(C_S + C_{SH}^{+})}$  vs. log  $a_{H_2SO_4}^{-}$  (Fig. 1) is that a linear correlation in this relationship can be shown to be diagnostic of general acid catalysis by  $H_2SO_4$  species rather than by  $H^+$ , according to eq. 2 (cf. also ref. 13).

$$\log k_{\psi} - \log \frac{C_{SH}^{+}}{C_{S} + C_{SH}^{+}} = \log a_{HA} + \log k_{o} + \log \frac{f_{s}}{f_{\#}}$$
(2)

Thus reaction of l involves rate-limiting-proton transfer to the oxygen of the protonated azoxy function with concerted loss of H<sub>2</sub>O and formation of dicationic intermediate:

$$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{H^{*}}_{K_{SH^{*}}} SH^{*} \xrightarrow{HA} \left[ \begin{array}{c} & H_{V} \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

The dicationic species 4 will undergo nucleophilic attack by HSO<sub>4</sub> at the 1-naphthyl position and the product is formed by proton loss with re-aromatization.

In the case of 2, the plot of log  $k_{\psi}$  - log  $C_{SH}^{*}/(C_S + C_{SH}^{*})$  vs. log  $a_{H_2SO_4}$  is curved, indicating that this substrate follows a different mechanism. A plot of log  $k_{\psi}$  - log  $C_{SH}^{*}/(C_S + C_{SH}^{*})$  vs.  $H_0$  is also curved. The acidity dependence of compound 2 can however be accomodated on the basis of a mechanism involving quinoid intermediates as shown in eq. 4 (cf. ref. 13b for hexamethylazoxybenzene in 60-75%  $H_2SO_4$ ).

For compound 1,  $k_{\psi}$  increases from 1.96 x  $10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup> to 1.75 x  $10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> over the range 69.65 - 80.59% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (H<sub>0</sub> = -5.74 to -7.43), while for compound 2, over the same range of acidity,  $k_{\psi}$  increases from 6.35 x  $10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup> to 2.78 x  $10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>.



A kinetic scheme for the system can be derived as previously 13b and leads to eq. 5.

$$\log k_{\psi} - \log \frac{C_{SH^{+}}}{C_{S} + C_{SH^{+}}} - \log \frac{C_{S'H^{+}}}{C_{S'} + C_{S'H^{+}}} = \log a_{Nu}a_{B} + \log k_{O}'K + \log \frac{f_{SH^{+}}f_{S'H^{+}}}{f_{f}f_{S'}}$$
(5)

This will be applicable in the general case that the species S, S', SH<sup>+</sup> and S'H<sup>+</sup>, are all present in significant concentrations. The value of  $pK_{S'H}^+$  can be derived as -7.12 on analysis of the data, so that  $C_{S'H}^+/(C_S, + C_{S'H}^+)$  can be calculated. In accord with eq. 5, a linear plot with slope 1.05 is obtained when log  $k_{U}$  is plotted against 2 log  $a_{HSO_4^-} + \log C_{SH}^+/(C_S + C_{S'H}^+) + \log C_{S'H}^+/(C_S, + C_{S'H}^+)$ , as shown in Fig. 2.

There are several reasons for the occurrence of specific reaction pathways in the case of isomers 1 and 2. For compound 2, eq. 4 provides a relatively low energy pathway in media of moderate acidity, (a) since  $150_4^-$  (which serves the function of B and Nu<sup>-</sup>) is the most abundant species in such media<sup>14</sup>, and (b) since it avoids formation of structures with two positive charges. However, 1 cannot react by the quinoid intermediate pathway, since the <sup>+</sup>NOH function is not correctly oriented with respect to the naphthyl ring, while the phenyl moiety is insufficiently activated to form quinoid intermediates. Hence 1 must react by another mode, namely the dicationic intermediate mechanism, as followed by azoxybenzene itself.<sup>11,13a</sup>

The dichotomy of mechanistic pathways - dicationic vs. quinoid intermediates - has been advanced previously;<sup>16,17</sup> however the present study has allowed delineation of the determining structural factors as well as providing kinetic criteria for the two mechanisms. Results of an extended study, involving all the known members of the series of phenyl-naphthyl and naphthyl-naphthyl azoxy compounds,<sup>12</sup> are in full accord with the conclusions described in the present communication and will be published in due course.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: We thank the National Research Council of Canada for a grant.

## **REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES**

- 1. H.J. Shine, "Aromatic Rearrangements", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1967.
- E. Buncel, in "Mechanisms of Molecular Migrations", Vol. 1, ed. B.S. Thyagarajan, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- 3. C.S. Hahn and H.H. Jaffé, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84, 946 (1962).
- M.M. Shemyakin, T.E. Agadzhanyan, V.I. Maimind and R.V. Kudryavtsev, <u>Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR</u>, 1339 (1963); <u>Chem. Abstr.</u>, <u>59</u>, 12619<u>b</u> (1963).
- 5. S. Oae, T. Fukumoto, and M. Yamagami, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 36, 601 (1963).
- 6. G.G. Spence, E.C. Taylor, and O. Buchardt, Chem. Rev., 70, 231 (1970).
- 7. R.A. Cox and F. Buncel, in "The Chemistry of the Hydrazo, Azo, and Azoxy Groups", ed. S. Patai, Interscience, New York, in press.
- 8. D.J.W. Goon, N.G. Murray, J.-P. Scoch, and N.J. Bunce, Can. J. Chem., 51, 5827 (1973).
- 9. R.M. Squire and H.H. Jaffé, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 8188 (1973).
- 10. E. Buncel and A. Dolenko, Tetrahedron Lett., No. 2, 113 (1971).
- 11. E. Buncel and W.M.J. Strachan, Can. J. Chem., 48, 377 (1970).
- 12. A. Dolenko, K. Mahendran, and E. Buncel, Can. J. Chem., 48, 1736 (1970).

13.a)R.A. Cox, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96, 1059 (1974); b) R.A. Cox and E. Buncel, in press.

- 14. As the acidity increases to  $\sim 80\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> the activity of HSO<sub>4</sub> species levels off while that of the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> species increases; hence the quinoid intermediate mechanism is expected to give way to the dicatonic intermediate mechanism in >80\% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>15</sup>
- 15. R.A. Cox, A. Dolenko, and E. Buncel, to be published.
- 16. D. Duffey and E.C. Hendley, J. Org. Chem., 33, 1918 (1968); 35, 3579 (1970).
- 17. A. Dolenko and E. Buncel, Can. J. Chem., 52, 623 (1974).